



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/348,852	07/07/1999	HIROSHI MURAKAMI	31050.9US01	5848
7	590 10/03/2002			
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD. TENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			FERRIS III, FRED O	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90064		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2123	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/348,852	MURAKAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fred Ferris	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	July 1999 .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 1999</u> is/are: a) [						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· <del>-</del>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	diffile.					
	n mindhuundan 25 H C C S 440/	(a) (d) = - (D				
<ul><li>13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>	ii prionty under 35 O.S.C. § 119(	a)-(a) or (t).				
· ,—	rs have been received					
	and the service of the pricing decembers and a second control.					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		<del></del>				
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/348,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-26 have been presented for examination. Claims 1-26 have been rejected by the examiner.

## **Drawings**

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

The drawings are objected to because of improper numbers, letters, and reference characters (37 CFR 1.84(i)). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/348,852 Page 3

Art Unit: 2123

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent number 5,726,885 issued to Klein et al in view of U.S. patent 5,066,034 issued to Carr in further view of U.S. patent 5,579,973 issued to Taft.

## Claims 1-7 are drawn to:

A vehicle sharing system with:

First and second port at remote locations (vehicle search group (VSG))

User interface terminal (fleet requests)

Computer system (to user interface / vehicle selection / means for relocation)

VSG: Parking facility at ports

Vehicles due to arrive

**Tow hitch** for relocation by single driver & cycle w carrier bracket Attendant display device

Regarding claims 1-7: Klien teaches a vehicle sharing (hiring) system with numerous collection and return points (ports) at remote locations (parking facilities) that contain a pool of vehicles (vehicle search group). The Klien system includes a computer system with user interface, display device and software programmed with an intelligent algorithm for determining vehicle selection, vehicles due to arrive, and vehicle relocation. (Background and Summary of Invention, especially CL1-L6-21, CL2-L33-63, CL3-L12, 21, 30, 34, 41, 45 55, CL5-L1, 29, 58, CL6-L46, CL7-L18, CL8-27, Figs. 1-3)

Klien does not explicitly teach a vehicle sharing system that includes the use of a tow hitch for relocating vehicles.

Carr teaches an apparatus for towing (relocating) a first vehicle with a second vehicle by way of a single driver. (Abstract, Summary of Invention, Figs. 1, 11) In

Application/Control Number: 09/348,852

Art Unit: 2123

addition to being taught by Carr, tow bars with hitch receptacles, <u>and their use for</u>

<u>relocating vehicles</u> (in particular by rental car companies) is obvious and well known in the art.

Klien further does not explicitly teach the use of a carrier bracket for carrying a cycle.

Taft teaches a carrier bracket for carrying a cycle or any two wheeled vehicle for mounting on the rear of an automobile. (Abstract, Summary of Invention, CL4-L27-65, Figs. 1,5, 8)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the claimed invention was made, to modify the teachings of Klien relating to a computerized vehicle sharing (hiring) system with numerous collection and return points (ports) at remote locations (parking facilities), with the teachings of Carr relating to a tow hitch for towing (relocating) a first vehicle with a second vehicle by way of a single driver, and to further modify the teachings of Klien with the teachings of Taft relating to a carrier bracket for carrying a two wheeled vehicle, to realize a Shared Vehicle System and Method with Vehicle Relocation. An obvious motivation exists since, as referenced by prior art, a long felt need exists for an efficient and cost effective way of making vehicles available (Klien, CL8-L50), and because both Carr and Taft have specifically addressed solving the same problems as the claimed invention relating to transporting one car with another (two hitch), and transporting an additional two wheeled vehicle (cycle carrier) respectively. Further, the Klien system, which is largely automated, contains all the limitations of the claimed invention as a subset (except for tow bar and

Art Unit: 2123

cycle bracket) and, hence, it would have been obvious, and necessary, to include an attendant display device.

## Claims 8-14 are drawn to:

Method for sharing vehicles from fleet with:
Interface terminal at ports
Receiving request for vehicle at interface terminal
Defining VSG (1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> & number vehicles)
Relocating selected vehicles
VSG of port includes parking facility
VSG includes vehicles due to arrive
Vehicles w tow bar / connecting to hitch of 2<sup>nd</sup> / towing to port
Carrier hitch receptacle / carry 2<sup>nd</sup> to port
Displaying message to 2<sup>nd</sup> attendant
1<sup>st</sup> port different that VSG of 2<sup>nd</sup> port

Regarding claims 8-14: As previously cited, Klien teaches a method for vehicle sharing (hiring from a fleet) system with numerous collection and return points (ports) at remote locations (parking facilities) that contain a pool of vehicles (vehicle search group). The Klien system includes a computer system with interface terminal at the disposition center (port) (see Fig. 1, CL4-14) and software programmed with an intelligent algorithm for determining vehicle selection, vehicles due to arrive, vehicle relocation, and number or vehicles at ports. (Background and Summary of Invention, especially CL1-L6-21, CL2-L33-63, CL3-L12, 21, 30, 34, 41, 45 55, CL5-L1, 29, 58, CL6-L46, CL7-L18, CL8-27, Figs. 1-3) The system also responds to (receives) requests for a vehicle. (CL5-L61)

Klien does not explicitly teach a vehicle sharing system that includes the use of a tow hitch for relocating vehicles.

Application/Control Number: 09/348,852

Art Unit: 2123

Carr teaches an apparatus for towing (relocating) a first vehicle with a second vehicle by way of a single driver. (Abstract, Summary of Invention, Figs. 1, 11) In addition to being taught by Carr, tow bars with hitch receptacles, and their use for relocating vehicles (in particular by rental car companies) is obvious and well known in the art.

Klien further does not explicitly teach the use of a carrier bracket for carrying a cycle.

Taft teaches a carrier bracket for carrying a cycle or any two wheeled vehicle for mounting on the rear of an automobile. (Abstract, Summary of Invention, CL4-L27-65, Figs. 1,5, 8)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the claimed invention was made, to modify the teachings of Klien relating to a computerized vehicle sharing (hiring) system with numerous collection and return points (ports) at remote locations (parking facilities), with the teachings of Carr relating to a tow hitch for towing (relocating) a first vehicle with a second vehicle by way of a single driver, and to further modify the teachings of Klien with the teachings of Taft relating to a carrier bracket for carrying, to realize a Shared Vehicle System and Method with Vehicle Relocation. An obvious motivation exists since, as referenced by prior art, a long felt need exists for an efficient and cost effective way of making vehicles available (Klien, CL8-L50), and because both Carr and Taft have specifically addressed solving the same problems as the claimed invention relating to transporting one car with another (two hitch), and transporting an additional two wheeled vehicle (cycle carrier)

Art Unit: 2123

respectively. Further, the Klien system, which is largely automated, contains all the limitations of the claimed invention as a subset (except for tow bar and cycle bracket) and, hence, it would have been obvious, and necessary, to include an **attendant display device** for **displaying messages** to other attendants relaying **different port** groups.

Regarding claims 15-20: Claims 15-20 merely claim the same features and limitations of claims 1-7 (but relative to first port and user) and are, hence, rejected using the same reasoning as previously cited above.

Regarding claims 21-26: Claims 21-26 merely claim the <u>method</u> for the same features and limitations of claims 8-14 and are, hence, rejected using the same reasoning as previously cited above.

### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, careful consideration should be given prior to applicant's response to this Office Action.
- U.S. Patent 5,572,430 issued to Murakami et al teaches shared vehicle deployment and reallocation.
- U.S. Patent 6,253,980 issued to Murakami et al teaches shared vehicle system and carrying second vehicle.
- U.S. Patent 5,812,070 issued to Tagami et al teaches shared vehicle rental system.

WI FIRM PUR 2123

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 703-305-9670 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

The Official Fax Numbers are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240

Pred Perris. Patent Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2123
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Crystal Park 2, Room 2A22
Crystal City, Virginia 22202
Phone: (703) 305 - 9670
FAX: (703) 305 - 7240

FAX: (703) 305 - 7240 Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov

September 20, 2002